

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,739	02/18/2004	Abhishek Chauhan	2006579-0556	1672	
	7590 02/02/200 LL & STEWART / CF	9 FRIX SYSTEMS, INC.	EXAMINER		
TWO INTERNATIONAL PLACE			LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
BOSTON, MA	. 02110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2432		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	10/782,739	CHAUHAN ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>BENJAMIN E. LANIER</u> .	(3)						
(2) Christpher J. McKenna (Reg. No. 53,302).	(4)						
Date of Interview: 28 January 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: 1.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Xie, Chelsa.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. McKenna arqued that the combined teachings did not teach the claimed exception rule generation. Examiner provided clarification on the proposed modification provided in the previous Office Action. Mr. McKenna stated that the clarification provided would be addressed in an official response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable; if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432							

Application No.

Applicant(s)